Coronation Street actor Michael

Le Vell 'raped girl as she

clutched teddy bear', court

Michael Douglas on Catherine

Zeta-Jones separation: "There's

no crisis at home... my wife and

Hacked, slashed and castrated:

'Black Jesus' Steven Tari met

Fiddling while RBS burned -

new book reveals Fred the

Shred Goodwin's fatal

How 'cannibal' cult leader



VOICES SPORT TECH LIFE PROPERTY ARTS & ENTS TRAVEL MONEY INDYBEST BLOGS STUDENT OFFERS

UK▼ | World▼ | Business▼ | People▼ | Science | Environment▼ | Media▼ | Technology | Education▼ | Obituaries | Diary | Corrections | Newsletter | Appeals▼



Glaxo chief: Our drugs do not work on most patients

BY STEVE CONNOR, SCIENCE EDITOR MONDAY 08 DECEMBER 2003

PRINT | AAA

A senior executive with Britain's biggest drugs company has admitted that most prescription medicines do not work on most people who take them.

Allen Roses, worldwide vice-president of genetics at GlaxoSmithKline (GSK), said fewer than half of the patients prescribed some of the most expensive drugs actually derived any benefit from them.

It is an open secret within the drugs industry that most of its products are ineffective in most patients but this is the first time that such a senior drugs boss has gone public. His comments come days after it emerged that the NHS drugs bill has soared by nearly 50 per cent in three years, rising by £2.3bn a year to an annual cost to the taxpayer of £7.2bn. GSK announced last week that it had 20 or more new drugs under development that could each earn the company up to \$ibn (£600m) a year.

Ads by Google

India Pharma Report

Download a Free Extract Here! The Latest Pharma Industry Data.

BusinessMonitor.com/Indi aReport

Live In Amsterdam 2013

NationalAchieversCongres

5 Signs of Alzheimer's

Doctor: 5 Warning Signs You're About to Get

www.newsmax.com

Dr Roses, an academic geneticist from Duke University in North Carolina, spoke at a recent scientific meeting in London where he cited figures on how well different classes of drugs work in real patients.

Drugs for Alzheimer's disease work in fewer than one in three patients, whereas those for cancer are only effective in a quarter of patients. Drugs for migraines, for osteoporosis, and arthritis work in about half the patients, Dr Roses said. Most drugs work in fewer than one in two patients mainly because the recipients carry genes that interfere in some way with the medicine, he said.

"The vast majority of drugs - more than 90 per cent - only work in 30 or 50 per cent of the people," Dr Roses said. "I wouldn't say that most drugs don't work. I would say that most drugs work in 30 to 50 per cent of people. Drugs out there on the market work, but they don't work in everybody."

Some industry analysts said Dr Roses's comments were reminiscent of the 1991 gaffe by Gerald Ratner, the jewellery boss, who famously said that his high street shops are successful because they sold "total crap". But others believe Dr Roses deserves credit for being honest about a little-publicised fact known to the drugs industry for many years.

"Roses is a smart guy and what he is saying will surprise the public but not his colleagues," said one industry scientist. "He is a pioneer of a new culture within the drugs business based on using genes to test for who can benefit from a particular drug."

Dr Roses has a formidable reputation in the field of "pharmacogenomics" - the application of human genetics to drug development - and his comments can be seen as an attempt to make the industry realise that its future rests on being able to target drugs to a smaller number of patients with specific genes.

The idea is to identify "responders" - people who benefit from the drug - with a simple and cheap genetic test that can be used to eliminate those nonresponders who might benefit from another drug.

This goes against a marketing culture within the industry that has relied on selling as many drugs as possible to the widest number of patients - a culture that has made GSK one of the most profitable pharmaceuticals companies, but which has also meant that most of its drugs are at best useless, and even possibly dangerous, for many patients.

Dr Roses said doctors treating patients routinely applied the trial-and-error approach which says that if one drug does not work there is always another one. "Lthink exercined has it in their experience that multiple drugs have been used

"Neither those who pay for medical care nor patients want drugs to be prescribed that do not benefit the recipient. Pharmacogenetics has the promise of removing much of the uncertainty."

Response rates

Therapeutic area: drug efficacy rate in per cent

- · Alzheimer's: 30
- Analgesics (Cox-2): 80
- Asthma: 60
- Cardiae Arrythmias: 60
- Depression (SSRI): 62
- · Diabetes: 57
- Hepatits C (HCV): 47
- Incontinence: 40
- Migraine (acute): 52 Migraine (prophylaxis)50
- · Oncology: 25
- Rheumatoid arthritis50
- Schizophrenia: 60

Wealth Entrepreneur Robert Kiyosaki Event 19-20 Oct Book Tickets Now

s.Org

Alzheimer's Disease

Syria crisis: The British public has its say as two-thirds oppose

Advanced Search | Article archive | Topics

Most Viewed Most Commented Most Shared

I are fine

his death

obsessions



INDEPENDENT PARTNERS

Making international payments?

Save time and money on foreign exchange with HiFX Market leading rates | No hidden



Recently Read

charges | Quick & easy

Independent, powered by Facebook, Learn More.

f Log In

Be the first of your friends to use The Independent.

